

No. ID/FD/114/82/36536.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mangroo Prasad and the management of M/s Snowtaimp Engineering Company Ltd. 14, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act; the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mangroo Prasad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/95/82/36543.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Charan Singh and the management of M/s Hindustan Machine and Castings, Plot No. 36, Sector-27A, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Charan Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 10th August, 1982

No. ID/FD/50/82/36926.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bansi Ram and the management of M/s. J.M.A. Industries, 14/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bansi Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/57/82/36933.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Poly FAB Industries Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 93, Sector-6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the workmen should be issued appointment letters ? If so, with what details ?

No. ID/FD/113/82/36957.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ashok Kumar and the management of M/s New Allenberry Works, 14/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ashok Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/83/82/36978.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Barphi and the management of M/s Sovrin Knit Works, 20/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Barphi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FD/83/82/36982.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Shanti Devi and the management of M/s Sovrin Knit Works, 20/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana, considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Shanti Devi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

No. ID/FD/83/82/36985.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Anguri and the management of M/s Sovrin Knit Works, 20/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Anguri was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/83/82/36989.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Smt. Phulawati and the management of M/s Sovrin Knit Works, 20/4, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Smt. Phulawati was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is she entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRY,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.